DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP)	At what stage is this document in the process?
# DCP 396: ## Housekeeping Change to Schedule 33 **Date Raised:** 11th October 2021 **Proposer Name:** Peter Waymont **Company Name:** UKPN **Party Category:** DNO	**01 – Change Proposal** 02 – Consultation 03 – Change Report 04 – Change Declaration

Purpose of Change Proposal:

Housekeeping change to Schedule 33

Governance:

The Proposer recommends that this Change Proposal should be:

- Treated as a Part 2 Matter
- Treated as a Standard Change
- Progressed to the Change Report phase

The Panel will consider the proposer's recommendation and determine the appropriate route.

Impacted Parties:

Suppliers/DNOs/IDNOs

Impacted Clauses:

New paragraph to schedule 33

© 2016 all rights reserved

Contents

1	Summary	3
2	Governance	3
3	Why Change?	3
4	Solution and Legal Text	4
5	Code Specific Matters	4
6	Relevant Objectives	4
7	Impacts & Other Considerations	5
8	Implementation	6
9	Recommendations	6

Indicative Timeline

The Secretariat recommends the following timetable:

Initial Assessment Report	20 October 2021
Change Report Approved by Panel	17 November 2021
Change Report issued for Voting	19 November 2021
Party Voting Closes	10 December 2021
Change Declaration Issued to Parties	14 December 2021

Any questions?

Contact:

Code Administrator

DCUSA@electralink.co.uk

020 7432 3011

Proposer:

Peter Waymont

peter.waymont@ukpowernetworks.co.uk

telephone

Other:

Insert name

email address.

telephone

Other:

Insert name

email address.

telephone

© 2016 all rights reserved

1 Summary

What?

1.1 An additional paragraph needs to be added to Schedule 33 to cater for of an approved change (MAP CP 0322) which impacted various MRASCo Agreed Procedures (MAP)s including MAP21 (Disconnections) implemented on 21 August 2020 (v1.7).

Why?

1.2 DCP391 'Retail Code Consolidation Significant Code Review' introduced Schedule 33 to DCUSA. This was in line with the MRASCo Agreed procedure 21. The version used was not the latest one and as such omitted the clause introduced by MAP CP 0322

How?

1.3 Introduce the obligation on Suppliers and Distributors to use the Secure Data Exchange Portal (SDEP) for exchanging personal data, unless otherwise stated as per the text contained within MAP CP 0322

2 Governance

Justification for Part 1 and Part 2 Matter

Requested Next Steps

2.1 This Change Proposal should:

- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
- Be treated as a Standard Change; and
- Proceed to the Change Report phase.

2.2 This change is considered to be a Housekeeping change to align Schedule 33 to that of the previous host (MAP21) which included such a clause introduced by the approved MAP CP 0322 in version 1.7. Unfortunately, this amendment was overlooked during the development of DCP391.

3 Why Change?

3.1 The MRA introduced a change (MAP CP 0322) to a number of agreed procedures to use the required Suppliers and Distributors to use the Secure Data Exchange Portal (SDEP) for exchanging personal data in preference to the use of emails. This was implemented in August 2020.

3.2 The Significant Code Review on Retail Code Consolidation saw the demise of the Master Registration Agreement. As part of this the disconnections process (MAP21 was moved into DCUSA as part of change proposal DCP391 implemented on the 1st September 2021.

3.3 Unfortunately MAP CP 0322 was omitted in error from the legal text of schedule 33.

© 2016 all rights reserved

3.4 This change proposal is therefore considered as a housekeeping change to include the approved change proposal within Schedule 33

4 Solution and Legal Text

Legal Text

4.1 Add the following legal text to Schedule 33:

- "Where personal data relating to a Customer is exchanged between parties for the purposes of this schedule, except where otherwise stated, this shall be sent via the Secure Data Exchange Portal."

Text Commentary

4.2 Apart for replacing 'procedure' with 'of this schedule', this paragraph is the same as MAP CP 0322. The suggested changes is to make it specific to DCUSA language used.

5 Code Specific Matters

Reference Documents

5.1 MAP CP 0322.

6 Relevant Objectives

	DCUSA General Objectives	Identified impact
☐	1. The development, maintenance and operation by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of efficient, co-ordinated, and economical Distribution Networks	None
☐	2. The facilitation of effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) the promotion of such competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity	None
☐	3. The efficient discharge by the DNO Parties and IDNO Parties of obligations imposed upon them in their Distribution Licences	None
☑	4. The promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA	Positive
☐	5. Compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators.	None

© 2016 all rights reserved

	DCUSA Charging Objectives	Identified impact
☐	1. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates the discharge by the DNO Party of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by its Distribution Licence	Positive Negative Neutral None
☐	2. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity and will not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity or in participation in the operation of an Interconnector (as defined in the Distribution Licences)	Positive Negative Neutral None
☐	3. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies results in charges which, so far as is reasonably practicable after taking account of implementation costs, reflect the costs incurred, or reasonably expected to be incurred, by the DNO Party in its Distribution Business	Positive Negative Neutral None
☐	4. That, so far as is consistent with Clauses 3.2.1 to 3.2.3, the Charging Methodologies, so far as is reasonably practicable, properly take account of developments in each DNO Party's Distribution Business	Positive Negative Neutral None
☐	5. That compliance by each DNO Party with the Charging Methodologies facilitates compliance with the EU Internal Market Regulation and any relevant legally binding decisions of the European Commission and/or the Agency for the Co-operation of Energy Regulators; and	Positive Negative Neutral None
☐	6. That compliance with the Charging Methodologies promotes efficiency in its own implementation and administration.	Positive Negative Neutral None

6.1 This is considered to impact only change the general objectives specifically the promotion of efficiency in the implementation and administration of the DCUSA. This should have been cartered for within DCP391 but was unfortunately omitted. Its inclusion therefore maintains the status quo post the MRA removal as a code

7 Impacts & Other Considerations

7.1 The omission of such text will have a consequential impact on the Retail Energy Code who is responsible for managing the Secure Data Exchange Portal (SDEP). There are currently two messages in the SDEP to manage this – Logical Disconnections (Elec.); and Physical Disconnections (Elec.). Without the obligation in DCUSA there will be mixed messaging between codes.

Does this Change Proposal impact a Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects, if so, how?

7.2 The Retail Code Consolidation SCR is now closed.

Does this Change Proposal Impact Other Codes?

© 2016 all rights reserved

BSC……..	☐	MRA……	☐
CUSC……	☐	SEC……	☐
Grid Code…….	☐	REC…….	☐
Distrbution Code..	☐	None…….	☐

Ths change will ensure no impact on codes. Without it there will be a misalignment with the REC.

Consideration of Wider Industry Impacts

7.3 No

Confidentiality

7.4 None

8 Implementation

Proposed Implementation Date

8.1 The Change Proposal would be released in the first release after Party approval.

9 Recommendations

© 2016 all rights reserved